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## Commissioner's Foreword

## Dear Educators:

> I am pleased to present the MCAS Alternate Assessment (MCAS-Alt) 2007 Guidelines for Scoring Student Portfolios. This publication will be used to train qualified individuals selected by the Department to score student portfolios submitted for the 2007 MCAS-Alt. This manual is used to ensure that scores for each portfolio are accurate and that standards for scoring are applied consistently.

Students with significant disabilities who are unable to take MCAS tests, even with accommodations, must participate in MCAS by submitting an alternate assessment portfolio. It is important to include these students in MCAS to measure their performance in relation to the state's learning standards, to improve their instruction, and to demonstrate that their educational needs matter.

Thank you for taking part in this important component of MCAS.
Sincerely,
David P. Driscoll
Commissioner of Education
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## Introduction

Same Standards
High Expectations for ALL Students

MCAS-Alt has been administered annually since 2001 in Massachusetts. According to state and federal laws, all students with disabilities are required to participate in statewide assessments, either by taking standard MCAS tests, with or without accommodations, or by taking the MCAS Alternate Assessment (MCAS-Alt). Decisions on how each student will participate in MCAS are made by the student's IEP or 504 team and must be documented in the student's IEP or 504 plan.

## Participation Guidelines

A student with a significant cognitive disability should be considered for alternate assessments by an IEP or 504 team when the student:

- receives instruction in which the content and level of instruction have been modified well below the expectations of non-disabled students enrolled in the same grade;
And
- receives intensive, individualized instruction across all settings in which a subject is taught;

And

- cannot fully demonstrate knowledge and skills in the subject being assessed on a standardized, paper-and-pencil test such as the MCAS, even when accommodations are provided.

Students with other complex and significant, though not necessarily cognitive, disabilities should also be considered for alternate assessments when those disabilities present the student with unique and significant challenges to fully demonstrating knowledge and skills on the MCAS standard tests, even with accommodations.

## Portfolio Contents and Structure

The MCAS-Alt portfolio consists of a collection of required "evidence" compiled throughout the school year that document the student's knowledge and skills based on the Massachusetts Curriculum Framework in the content area being assessed. Evidence is organized in a portfolio according to the standards specified for assessment in each content area, and includes the following products and information:

- Data charts showing the student's performance over time on tasks based on the learning standard being assessed
- Work samples, video/audio clips, and/or photographs showing the student's performance on tasks based on the learning standard being assessed
- Descriptive notes provided by the teacher, examples of materials and tools used by the student, reflection sheets, and other supporting documentation at the discretion of the teacher

Creation of portfolios is guided by information in the Department publication entitled the Educator's Manual for MCAS-Alt, which is updated annually, posted to the Department's Web page at www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/alt/resources.html, and distributed at Department-sponsored training events.

## Scoring of MCAS-Alt Portfolios

Once portfolios are completed and submitted to the Department each May, they are reviewed and scored by licensed Massachusetts educators at a summer scoring institute sponsored by the Department of Education. The 2007 Guidelines for Scoring Student Portfolios provide detailed information on scoring student portfolios, including the Rubric for Scoring Portfolio Strands (Appendix A), which is used as the basis for scoring all student portfolios. The 2007 Guidelines for Scoring Student Portfolios is also available online at www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/alt/results.html.

## General Guidelines for Scorers

## Thank you for your interest in scoring MCAS-Alt portfolios.

Please review the following general guidelines for scorers carefully and review each step of the scoring process in this booklet, including all scoring rules and appendices.

## - Be objective and impartial. Opinions or personal feelings should not influence your scoring.

Put aside your opinions about the appropriateness of the student's placement, program, services, or the reason for his or her participation in the alternate assessment.

- Review all evidence in a strand before scoring the strand.


## - Score only what you see in the portfolio.

Do not make inferences or assumptions about what the student or teacher may have intended. Use actual evidence, rather than the work description, as the basis for determining the score.

## - Score each rubric area separately for each strand.

Do not let the score in one rubric area influence the score in another. Do not raise the student's score in one area to overcome or compensate for a lower score in another, or lower a score across several rubric areas without first examining all of the evidence.

- Avoid the tendency to base your scores on any of the following:
- overall presentation and organization of the portfolio
- neatness of student (or teacher) work
- handwritten versus typed products
- "electronic" versus "paper" portfolios
- presentation in black-and-white versus color
- quality of photos or videotapes (provided all images are recognizable and labeled correctly)


## - Respect student and teacher confidentiality.

Do not score any portfolio if you are familiar with the student or teacher who submitted it.
Do not use the names of teachers or students when discussing the contents of any portfolio.
Do not review or consider any IEP information provided in the portfolio.

- Respect the contents of the portfolio.

Maintain the order of all contents in the portfolio. Keep food and drinks away from the portfolio. The portfolio must be returned in the same condition in which it was submitted.

- Do not rush through scoring, but do not spend too much time reviewing evidence either. Ask for assistance if you get stuck. On average, the review of a strand should not exceed about twenty minutes.
- Complete all score forms neatly and legibly.

It is important to print neatly and clearly on all score forms, particularly those being returned to teachers. You will be asked to recopy any forms with information that is crossed out or illegible.

## Content Areas Assessed by 2007 MCAS-Alt

The content areas assessed by 2007 MCAS-Alt in each grade are shown below.

| Students in <br> this grade: | Must be assessed in the following content areas and strands: |
| :---: | :--- |
| 3 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8) <br> - Mathematics (Number Sense and Operations; Patterns, Relations, and Algebra) |
| 4 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8; Composition) <br> - Mathematics (Number Sense and Operations; Data, Statistics, and Probability) |
| 5 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8) <br> - Mathematics (Number Sense and Operations; Measurement) <br> - Science \& Technology/Engineering (Choice of three strands) |
| 6 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8) <br> - Mathematics (Number Sense and Operations; Patterns, Relations, and Algebra) |
| 7 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8; Composition) <br> - Mathematics (Number Sense and Operations; Data, Statistics, and Probability) |
| 9 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8) <br> - Mathematics (Number Sense and Operations; Geometry) <br> - Science \& Technology/Engineering (Choice of three strands) |
| 9 | Must submit either in grade 9 or 10: <br> - Science \& Technology/Engineering (Three learning standards in any one <br> discipline: Biology, Introductory Physics, Chemistry, or Technology/Engineering) |
| 10 | - English Language Arts (ELA General Standards \#4 and \#8; Composition) <br> - Mathematics (Choice of three strands) <br> Must submit either in grade 9 or 10: <br> - Science \& Technology/Engineering (Three learning standards in any one <br> discipline: Biology, Introductory Physics, Chemistry, or Technology/Engineering) |
| 4 |  |

## Required Portfolio Contents

## The Portfolio:

Portfolios in each content area will consist of either two or three strands according to the table on page 3, plus required forms (shown below) organized in a three-ring binder for each student taking an alternate assessment. Guidelines for assembling the portfolio are provided in the 2007 Educator's Manual for MCAS-Alt posted to the Department's Web page at www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/alt/resources.html.

## Forms:



If one or more of these forms is missing, the score will not be affected. However, scorers should provide a numbered comment on the Portfolio Feedback Form selected from the Comment Key.

## Contents of Each Portfolio Strand:

The following products ("evidence") must be included in each required strand for a student enrolled in that grade: at least one data chart (either a field data chart, bar graph, or line graph) documenting the student's performance of one skill on at least five different dates (Note: A score of " M " will be given when the data chart indicates accuracy and independence above $80 \%$ for the entire data collection period.); plus two pieces of primary evidence documenting the same skill as the data chart (see page 5). Additional primary and secondary evidence of the same or other skills in the strand may be submitted, at the discretion of the teacher.


2007 MCAS-Alt: Guidelines for Scoring Student Portfolios

## Types of Evidence:

Each portfolio strand will be scored separately. A strand may consist of the following portfolio products, some required and others optional, as described below:

Primary Evidence (required) - Clearly-labeled* products that document the student's performance, including:

- Data charts that indicate the student's progress.
- Work samples
- Video (3 minutes or less)
- Photographs that clearly show a work sample, the end product of instruction, or steps in a sequence leading to the end product
- Audiotapes of an oral presentation, performance, or other type of recorded verbal response, or if recording the student on audiotape was used as an accommodation
* Primary evidence must be labeled with the following information, either on each piece or on an attached Work Description:
- Student's Name
- Date (month/date/year)
- \% Accuracy (number of correct responses divided by total attempts)
- \% Independence (number of independent responses)

Secondary Evidence (optional) - Products that either support primary evidence or illustrate the context in which the learning occurred, such as:

- Photographs that show setting, instructional approach, materials, etc.
- Brief notes or narrative descriptions by the teacher, peer, parent, or others who assisted the student


## - Audiotapes

- Reflection sheets or other self-evaluation activity (goal setting, task analysis, student charting own performance, or self-correction)
- Letters or notes of support from peers, employers, or other teachers
- Aids and supports used by the student, such as:
- visual aids
- graphic organizers
- templates, examples, or models provided by the teacher
- adapted tools or materials

NOTE: Secondary Evidence will contribute to the scores for Self-Evaluation and Generalized Performance, but do not affect the overall performance level in the content area.

## Summary of Scoring Process: Scorers

## The Scorer:



## 2

- NEATLY records scorer ID, scorer number, and student information on Portfolio Feedback Form (see page 30)



## 3

- Verifies all required forms were submitted
- NEATLY marks accordingly on Portfolio Feedback Form



## 4

- Reviews entire strand for completeness
- Records information about each piece of evidence on Strand Organizer (see page 29)



## Summary of Scoring Process : Scorers

## Same Standards

High Expectations for ALL Students

## The Scorer (continued)

## 5

- Reviews Scoring Guidelines and determines the score in each strand for all rubric areas:
- Level of Complexity
- Demonstration of Skills and Concepts
- Independence
- Self-Evaluation
- Generalized Performance
- Using a pen, NEATLY places an $X$ to indicate each content area and strand on the Portfolio Feedback Form, and writes the learning standard number(s) addressed in each strand
- Using a pen, NEATLY circles each score on the Portfolio Feedback Form.


## 7

- Using a \#2 pencil, NEATLY transfers scores from the Portfolio Feedback Form to the top copy of the Student Score Form (see page 31)




## 8

- Removes top copy of Student Score Form
- Attaches it to top two remaining copies of Portfolio Feedback Form with a paper clip



## Summary of Scoring Process: Scorers

## The Scorer (continued)

## 9

Places bottom (third) copy of the Portfolio Feedback Form facedown in the inside back cover of the student's portfolio


10

- Places portfolio back in unsealed white envelope
- Returns the following materials to the Table Leader:
- Portfolio in unsealed white envelope
- Completed Student Score Form attached with paper clip to top two copies of the Portfolio Feedback Form



## Summary of Scoring Process: Table Leaders

## The Table Leader:

 a portfolio to score

- Receives portfolios from scorers after scoring is completed
- Checks all score forms, for completeness, legibility, and neatness
- NOTE: If not completed neatly, returns form(s) to scorer to complete a new form


## 4

- If portfolio is scored twice,
checks for agreement
between Scorers 1 and 2.


## 2 <br> - Determines whether a second score is required:

Each scorer must have at least one portfolio double-scored both before and after lunch, and not less than one for every fifth portfolio scored.

When a required strand is missing, is scored "M," or if an incorrect strand is submitted, it must be double-scored in that area by an "M-Resolver."

Each Grade 10 portfolio must be scored twice.

Each Table Leader must score at least one portfolio per day that will be double-scored.


- If scorers agree, places portfolio back in carton

- If scorers disagree, scores only the rubric areas in question, then places portfolio back in carton


## 0/0

- Records each scorer's accuracy percentage on the Scorer Tracking Form

3


- If no second score is required, checks that forms are completed accurately, places portfolio back in carton, and holds the score forms aside with all other forms


## (1) $2 \sqrt{ }$

- If a second score is required, places portfolio in a "double score" box to be double-scores at another table, keeping the Scorer 1 forms separate.


## 6

When all portfolios in a carton have been scored:

- Checks that all Portfolio Feedback Forms are NEAT and LEGIBLE (if not, return to scorer and rewrite)
- Brings carton to scanning room
- Retrieves new carton
- Distributes portfolios to scorers one at a time
- Repeats steps until all portfolios are scored


## Scoring: Level of Complexity

## Refer to Strand Cover Sheet

 (Item numbers 4, 5, and 6).Using the Resource Guide (2006), scorer must confirm that:

- measurable outcome on Strand Cover Sheet (line 6) is linked to a learning standard for a student in this grade. If not, provide a comment and score accordingly (e.g., "M"), or consult with Table Leader.
- all evidence in this strand addresses the measurable outcome listed either on the Strand Cover Sheet (line 6) or on the data chart.

Use the Scoring Rubric below to determine the score for Level of Complexity.

## Scoring Rules

A) Use the following information, plus the Scoring Rubric below to score Level of Complexity.

## Definitions:

- "Access skills" (LOC=2) may be social, motor, or communication skills that allow a student to participate in a standards-based activity, but do not address curriculum content directly. If uncertain, consult your Table Leader.
- "Entry points" (LOC=3) address curriculum content, but below grade-level expectations. NOTE: A skill (e.g., "waiting one's turn") may be an entry point in one subject (ELA) and access skill in another (Math).
- "At grade-level expectations" (LOC=4 or 5) means the student is working at a level of complexity equivalent to a typical student in that grade.
B) If a skill is addressed "at grade-level expectations," it must be scored, then set aside for review by content expert scorers who will make the final determination for Level of Complexity. If uncertain, consult with your Table Leader.
C) Level of Complexity may vary within a strand. At least five data points and two additional pieces of primary evidence must be at the higher level of complexity in order to score at that level. Otherwise, score at the lower level of complexity in that strand.

| SCORING RUBRIC |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Portfolio reflects little or no basis on Curriculum Framework learning standards in this strand. | Student primarily addresses social, motor, and communication "access skills" during instruction based on Curriculum Framework learning standards in this strand. | Student addresses Curriculum Framework learning standards that have been modified below grade-level expectations (i.e., "entry points") in this strand | Student addresses a narrow sample of Curriculum Framework learning standards (1 or 2) at grade-level expectations in this strand. | Student addresses a broad range of Curriculum Framework learning standards (3 or more) at grade level expectations in this strand. |

## Scoring: Demonstration of Skills \& Concepts (DSC)

Confirm that all of the following are included in the strand being scored:

- one data chart with at least 5 different dates
- two pieces of primary evidence that each address the same skill or outcome shown on the data chart

All pieces of primary evidence must be labeled with the following:

1. Student's name
2. Date (mo/day/yr)
3. \% Accuracy
4. \% Independence

If yes,
Determine the final $1 / 3$ time frame on the data chart (or final three data points, if final $1 / 3$ is fewer than three points).

For each data point and piece of primary evidence within the final $1 / 3$ time frame, record date and \% accuracy on Strand Organizer.

Calculate average percentage of accuracy for all evidence in the final $1 / 3$ time frame.

Use the Scoring Rubric below to determine the score for DSC.

## Scoring Rules

A) If DSC is scored $M$, then Independence must also be scored M .
B) If a work sample is also included as a point on a data chart, count it only once in the final calculation for DSC.
C) If $\%$ accuracy is not provided on primary evidence, calculate it yourself, if you can do so in two minutes or less (if not, score M).
D) A strand may also include primary evidence related to other outcomes and learning standards in the same strand. When this occurs, score as follows:

- First, determine whether the "core set" of required evidence is included (i.e., data chart and at least two pieces of primary evidence addressing the same skill or outcome). If not, score M
- If yes, determine whether any additional evidence was submitted in the strand.
- If yes, record \% accuracy on Strand Organizer for all additional data and evidence in the final $1 / 3$ time frame.
- Obtain the average by totaling the accuracy percentages for all data points and evidence in the final $1 / 3$ time frame, and divide by the number of data points and pieces of evidence.

| SCORING RUBRIC |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| The portfolio strand contains insufficient information to determine a score. | Student's performance is primarily inaccurate and demonstrates minimal understanding in this strand. <br> ( $0-25 \%$ accurate) | Student's performance is limited and inconsistent with regard to accuracy and demonstrates limited understanding in this strand. (26-50\% accurate) | Student's performance is mostly accurate and demonstrates some understanding in this strand. <br> (51-75\% accurate) | Student's performance is accurate and of consistently high quality in this strand. (76-100\% accurate) |

## Scoring: Independence (Ind)

Use the same data points and evidence to calculate Independence that you used to calculate \% accuracy.

Record \% independence on the Strand Organizer at the same time you record \% accuracy.

Calculate the average \% independence for the evidence recorded on the Strand Organizer.

Use the Scoring Rubric to determine the score for independence.

## Scoring Rules

A) If Independence is scored $M$, then DSC must also be scored M.
B) If a work sample is also included as a point on a data chart, count it only once in the final calculation for DSC.
C) If \% cues/prompts are documented, rather than \% independence; or a ratio is provided (e.g., " $6 / 7$ independent"), convert to a percentage (e.g., $10 \%$ cues/prompts $=90 \%$ independence). Use a calculator, if needed.
D) If \% independence is not provided on primary evidence, you may calculate it yourself, if you can do so in two minutes or less (if not, score M).
E) A precise percentage of independence must be indicated on the evidence. If evidence indicates that a student required assistance "30$40 \%$ of the time," or was independent "almost all of the time," it is unscorable.
F) Count only cues/prompts, not accommodations, in the score for Independence.
G) If full hand-over-hand assistance is provided to the student, then Ind $=0 \%$ (Rubric score for Ind=1).

| SCORING RUBRIC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  |
| The portfolio <br> strand <br> contains <br> insufficient <br> information <br> to determine <br> a score. | Student requires <br> extensive verbal, visual, <br> and physical assistance <br> to demonstrate skills <br> and concepts in this <br> strand. <br> (0-25\% independent) | Student requires <br> frequent verbal, visual, <br> and physical assistance <br> to demonstrate skills <br> and concepts in this <br> strand. <br> (26-50\% independent) | Student requires some <br> verbal, visual, and <br> physical assistance to <br> demonstrate skills and <br> concepts in this strand. <br> (51-75\% independent) | Student requires minimal <br> verbal, visual, and <br> physical assistance to <br> demonstrate skills and <br> concepts in this strand. <br> (76-100\% independent) |  |

Scoring DSC (Accuracy) and Independence
Scenario \#1: Primary evidence included on data chart
(All evidence in this strand is based on the same measurable outcome)

## Evidence includes:

- one data chart
- one work sample
- one video clip


Primary Evidence \#1


Primary Evidence \#2
(already charted)

Feb. 28, 2007
Work
Sample

80\% Accuracy 100\% Independence

## Primary Evidence \#3 (already charted)



## Calculating DSC (Accuracy) and Independence

1. Each of the three labeled pieces of primary evidence is scorable and the strand is complete. (At least one labeled data chart and two labeled pieces of related primary evidence were submitted.)
2. On the Strand Organizer, record \% accuracy and \% independence for all labeled evidence within or after the final $1 / 3$ time frame (or last three data points, whichever is more). In this strand, the final $1 / 3$ time frame begins on 2/28/06.
3. For the final calculation, do not include \% accuracy and \% independence for evidence if already included on the data chart. Since \% accuracy and \% independence for the work sample (2/28/06) and video clip (4/29/06) are already included on the data chart, do not include this information a second time.
4. Calculate the average for all evidence in or after the final $1 / 3$ time frame.

| \% Accuracy |
| :---: |
| (beginning 2/28/07) |
| $80 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| avg. $=80 \%$ |


| $\frac{\% \text { Independence }}{\text { (beginning } 2 / 28 / 07 \text { ) }}$ |
| :---: |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| avg. $=100 \%$ |

5. Use the Scoring Rubric to determine the final score in each rubric area.

Demonstration of Skills = 4 Independence $=4$

## IMCAS-Alt

(All evidence in this strand is based on the same measurable outcome)

Evidence includes:

- one data chart
- two work samples



## Primary Evidence \#2 (within final $1 / 3$ time frame, and not included on chart)

| $4 / 13 / 07$ |
| :---: |
| Work |
| Sample |
| 100\% Accuracy |
| $100 \%$ independence |

## Primary Evidence \#3 (after final date on chart)

## Calculating DSC and Independence

1. Each of the three labeled pieces of primary evidence is scorable and the strand is complete. (At least one labeled data chart and two labeled pieces of related primary evidence were submitted.)
2. On the Strand Organizer, record \% accuracy and \% independence for all labeled evidence within or after the final $1 / 3$ time frame (or the last three data points, whichever is more). In this strand, the final $1 / 3$ time frame begins on 2/28/07.
3. For the final calculation, be sure to include \% accuracy and
\% independence for the two work samples, since they are within or after the final $1 / 3$ and not already included on the data chart.
4. Calculate the average for all evidence in, or after, the final $1 / 3$ time frame.

| \% Accuracy |
| :---: |
| (beginning 2/28/07) |
| $80 \%$ |
| $60 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| avg. = 88\% |


| $\frac{\% \text { Independence }}{\text { (beginning } 2 / 28 / 07 \text { ) }}$ |
| :---: |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| avg. $=100 \%$ |

5. Use the Scoring Rubric to determine the final score in each rubric area.

Demonstration of Skills $=4$ Independence = 4
(All evidence in this strand is based on the same measurable outcome)

## Evidence includes:

- one data chart
- two work samples



## Primary Evidence \#2

 (not within final 1/3, and not included on chart)| 9/20/06 |
| :---: |
| Work |
| Sample |
| $40 \%$ Accuracy |
| 100\%/ Independence |

## Primary Evidence \#3 (not within final 1/3, and included on chart)



## Calculating DSC and Independence

1. Each of the three labeled pieces of primary evidence is scorable and the strand is complete. (At least one labeled data chart and two labeled pieces of related primary evidence were submitted.)
2. On the Strand Organizer, record \% accuracy and \% independence for all labeled evidence within or after the final $1 / 3$ time frame (or last three data points, whichever is greater). In this strand, the final $1 / 3$ time frame begins on 2/28/07.
3. For the final calculation, do not include \% accuracy and \% independence from the two work samples, since they were completed prior to the final $1 / 3$ time frame (i.e., before $2 / 28 / 07$ ).
4. Calculate the average for all evidence in, or after, the final $1 / 3$ time frame.

5. Use the Scoring Rubric to determine the final score in each rubric area.

Demonstration of Skills $=3$ Independence = 2

Scoring DSC (Accuracy) and Independence
Scenario \#4: Bar or line graph summarizes field data
(All evidence in this strand is based on the same measurable outcome)

## Evidence includes:

- one bar graph
- one field data chart
- one work sample


## Primary evidence \#1 (bar graph) <br> 

## Primary Evidence \#2 <br> (field data summarized on bar graph above)



## Primary Evidence \#3 (included on both charts)



## Calculating DSC and Independence

1. Each of the three labeled pieces of primary evidence is scorable and the strand is complete. (Rule: a field data chart plus a bar or line graph summarizing the field data are both scorable pieces of primary evidence).
2. On the Strand Organizer, record \% accuracy and \% independence for all labeled evidence within or after the final $1 / 3$ time frame (or last three data points). In this strand, the final $1 / 3$ time frame begins on 12/6/06.
3. Since the bar graph includes the same information as the field data chart, do not repeat \% accuracy and \% independence on the Strand Organizer. Similarly, since the work sample is already included on the bar graph, do not repeat the percentages from that work sample in the final tally.
4. Calculate the average for all evidence in, or after, the final $1 / 3$ time frame.

5. Use the Scoring Rubric to determine the final score in each rubric area.

Demonstration of Skills $=4$ Independence $=3$

## IMCAS-Alt

Same Standards
High Expectations for ALL Students

Scoring DSC (Accuracy) and Independence Scenario \#5: Additional evidence of related skills

## Evidence in this strand includes:

- one bar graph
- four work samples (two show the same skill as the chart)


Primary Evidence \#2
(same skill, but not charted)


## Primary Evidence \#3 (included on chart)

| 3/30/07 |
| :---: |
| Work |
| Sample |
| $40 \%$ Accuracy |
| $40 \%$ independence |

## Primary Evidence \#4

(different learning standard in same strand)

Primary Evidence \#5 (different learning standard in same strand)

## Calculating DSC and Independence

1. Each of five labeled pieces of primary evidence is scorable and the strand is complete. (At least one labeled data chart and two labeled pieces of related primary evidence were submitted.)
2. On the Strand Organizer, record \% accuracy and \% independence for all labeled evidence within or after the final $1 / 3$ time frame that addresses the same skill as the data chart, PLUS the evidence of other related skills. In this strand, the final $1 / 3$ time frame begins on 2/28/07.
3. On the Strand Organizer, record \% accuracy and \% independence for evidence produced on or after 2/28/07, including:

- data points
- work samples that show the same skill as the data chart
- work samples that show other skills in the strand

4. Calculate the average for all evidence in, or after, the final $1 / 3$ time frame.

| \% Accuracy |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (beginning $2 / 28 / 07)$ |  |
| $60 \%$ | $(2 / 28 / 07)$ |
| $40 \%$ | $(3 / 30 / 07)$ |
| $60 \%$ | $(4 / 29 / 07)$ |
| $80 \%$ | $(3 / 1 / 07)$ |
| avg. |  |


| $\%$ Independence |
| :---: |
| (beginning 2/28/07) |
| $20 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ |
| $40 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ |
| avg. $=50 \%$ |

5. Use the Scoring Rubric to determine the final score in each rubric area.

Demonstration of Skills $=3$
Independence $=2$
(All evidence in this strand is based on the same measurable outcome)

## Evidence includes:

- one data chart
(all data points at or above 80\%)
- two work samples


Primary Evidence \#2
(already charted)

## Calculating DSC (Accuracy) and Independence

1. Although the data chart includes at least five points on five different dates, and the work samples address the same skill as the data chart, the scores for both accuracy and independence remain in the 80-100\% range for the duration of the data collection period. The chart does not show that a new skill was taught to the student. Therefore, the data chart is unscorable.
2. The final score in each rubric area is:

Demonstration of Skills $=M$ Independence $=\mathbf{M}$

## Primary Evidence \#3 (already charted)

Work Sample

## Scoring Self-Evaluation

On the Strand Organizer, record each example of self-evaluation found in the evidence for the strand.

If the Total Then the
Number is: Score is:


Count as one example of selfevaluation each of the following activities performed by the student:

- selecting work for portfolio
- choosing materials/activities
- reflecting on performance
- goal-setting
- graphing or monitoring own performance
- checking or listing tasks as they are accomplished
- correcting his or her own work


## Scoring Rules

A) If the evidence shows the same selfevaluation activity or reflection sheet on multiple pieces of primary evidence, count each occurrence as one example of selfevaluation.
B) If the evidence shows multiple examples of self-evaluation on a single piece of primary evidence, count as one example of selfevaluation.
C) Self-evaluation does not include choosing a response to a question during actual instruction (e.g., "Which object is larger?").
D) When stickers or stamps are used to show self-evaluation, such as colored dots or "happy face" stickers, these count as examples of self-evaluation ONLY when it is clear from the evidence or the teacher's description that the student has chosen the sticker to describe or reflect on his or her performance. If a choice by the student is not evident, do not count the sticker(s) as an example of self-evaluation. Add a comment from the Comment Key.
E) Always count the use of Mayer Johnson symbols as self-evaluation when used as such, even if no explanation is included as to whether the student made a choice.

| SCORING RUBRIC |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 +}$ |
| Evidence of self-correction, monitoring, goal- <br> setting, and reflection was not found in this <br> strand. | Student self-corrects, monitors, sets <br> goals, and reflects in this strand on only <br> one piece of evidence in this strand. | Student self-corrects, monitors, sets <br> goals, and reflects on two or more <br> pieces of evidence in this strand. |

## Scoring Generalized Performance

High Expectations for ALL Students

## On the Strand Organizer, record the number of contexts and instructional approaches found in the primary evidence for the strand.



## 3 or more

Then the Score is:

$\square$

Examples of Generalized Performance:

| Activity | Score <br> for GP |
| :--- | :---: |
| - Completing a worksheet in the classroom <br> matching coin amounts to given values <br> - Completing the same worksheet in the <br> cafeteria | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| - Completing a worksheet in the classroom <br> matching coin amounts to given values <br> - Making a purchase in the cafeteria | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| - Completing a worksheet in the classroom <br> matching coin amounts to given values <br> - Making purchases in the cafeteria and in the <br> community | $\mathbf{3 +}$ |
| - Completing a worksheet in the classroom |  |
| matching coin amounts to given values |  |
| - Creating work sample using a store flyer to |  |
| purchase within a given budget |  |
| - Making a purchase in the cafeteria |  |$\quad \mathbf{3 +}$

## Scoring Rules

A.) Determine the total number of ways in which the skill or knowledge was demonstrated. If, for example, the student addresses the same skill:

- using the same instructional approach, but with a different person in each of two settings, then GP $=1$.
- using a different approach in each of two settings, GP = 2 .
- in his or her homework and through evidence produced in a community setting, GP = 2 .
B.) The score for Generalized Performance must be at least 1 , never 0 .
C.) Different settings in which instruction occurred and/or staff with whom the student worked do not, by themselves, count as examples of Generalized Performance. Exceptions: homework and community settings.
D.) If use of age-inappropriate instructional materials is evident (e.g., use of dolls, cartoons, nursery rhymes, etc., by 16-year-old students), the score in Generalized Performance must be lowered 1 point. When this occurs, add a comment from the Comment Key. Check with your Table Leader if you are uncertain.

SCORING RUBRIC

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3 +}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Student demonstrates knowledge and <br> skills in this strand using a single context <br> or one instructional approach. | Student demonstrates knowledge and <br> skills in this strand using two contexts, or <br> instructional approaches. | Student demonstrates knowledge and <br> skills in this strand suing three or more <br> contexts or instructional approaches. |

## Scoring Rules in Special Cases

## 1) Must pieces of primary evidence be included as points on the data chart for the strand to be complete?

No. Work samples, videos, and other primary evidence may or may not be included as data points on a graph or chart, at the teacher's discretion. Regardless of whether primary evidence is included on the chart, it is still counted for the purpose of determining scores. However, at least two pieces of primary evidence must be submitted based on the outcome described on the data chart.

## 2) What if a required strand is not submitted?

Do not mark any scores for required strands that were not submitted, and be sure to provide a comment.
3) What if a strand was submitted that is not required for a student in that grade? If an unrequired strand was submitted, and the student is not working at grade level, do not score the strand. List the strand name in the appropriate box on the Portfolio Feedback Form (PFF). An unrequired strand may be one of the following:

- A Math strand that is unmatched to the requirements for a student's grade
- ELA strands other than General Standards 4 or 8, and (in grades 4, 7, and 10) ELA Composition
- High school Earth Science (this strand is not assessed in high school)


## EXCEPTIONS:

1) When a student in grades $3-8$ is working "at grade level" (refer to the Strand Cover Sheet), and one or more additional strands are submitted, you must score all strands submitted in the content area.
2) For Science and Technology/Engineering in grades 5 and 8 (for which three strands are required), you must score a fourth Science and Technology/Engineering strand, if it was submitted.

For high school Science and Technology/Engineering, if three disciplines (rather than three learning standards in a discipline) are submitted, you must do the following:

- Determine if any of the three disciplines includes evidence of three different learning standards. If so, score only that discipline.
- If not, determine if any discipline includes evidence of more than one learning standard. If so, score only that discipline.
- If all disciplines include evidence of only one learning standard, score the first discipline in the portfolio.
If a student took a standard MCAS test in a subject required for assessment, instead of MCAS-Alt (refer to the Portfolio Cover Sheet), do not mark any scores in that content area.

4) What if no ,or multiple, Strand Cover Sheets are submitted for a strand?

Scorers must first determine whether all required evidence in the required strands were submitted, and whether the correct number of strands were submitted in the content area (if not, see the Rule \#2 above). Regardless of the number of Strand Cover Sheets, scorers should "bundle" all pieces of evidence together within a strand (without physically moving the evidence within the portfolio), and then score the strand. If the scorer can organize the materials in under five minutes, then the scorer can score the strand. If not, score M and provide a comment.

## Scoring Rules in Special Cases

5) What if the portfolio contains forms and cover sheets from previous years? If a portfolio contains outdated forms, score the strands anyway using the current scoring guidelines.
6) Can evidence be submitted from both the current and previous school years?

Only Science and Technology/Engineering portfolios in grades 5, 8, and 10 may contain evidence accumulated over two consecutive school years (i.e., the current and one previous school year). All other content areas must include evidence from only the current school year (beginning 7/2/06). Competency portfolios in grades 10-12 may also include evidence from several prior years (these must be set aside after scoring for additional review)
7) Can photographs, videos, and audiotapes be scored as primary evidence?

Videotapes or DVDs can be scored as primary evidence when the image of the student performing the task is clear, the sound is clearly audible or is transcribed in writing, and the video (or video image) is labeled with all required information (student's name, date, \% accuracy, \% independence). The portfolio reviewer should view each video for not longer than three minutes per task.

Photographs can be scored in the following situations, and ONLY when the subject is clear and the photo labeled with all required information (student's name, date, \% accuracy, \% independence):

- A photograph must be scored as primary evidence when it clearly shows a sample of work that is either too large, fragile, temporary in nature, or unsafe to include in a portfolio.
- A photograph must be scored as primary evidence when it clearly shows the end product of a sequence of steps (and/or each step in the process) performed by the student, and an explanation as to what occurred.
- A photograph must be scored as secondary evidence when it clearly shows the setting, instructional approach, or context of the activity (e.g., a student sitting at a computer).

Audiotapes can be scored when they are clearly audible or transcribed in writing and labeled with all required information (student's name, date, \% accuracy, \% independence). Audiotapes must be scored as primary evidence only in the following cases:

- When the student provides verbal responses recorded on audiotape as an accommodation, rather than written responses, and there is clear evidence of what the student was asked to do.
- When the outcome listed on the Strand Cover Sheet is related to
- communication
- use of language
- participation by the student in discussion, recitation, performance, or other oral activity

8) How should a portfolio be scored for a student enrolled in grade 9, 11, or 12 if it includes high school Science and Technology/Engineering?
Score this content area the same as you would a grade 10 portfolio.

## Additional Scoring Scenarios and Rules

| If a strand consists of: | Then strand is: | Reason: $\quad$ P | Provide a Comment* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) - 3 or more pieces of primary evidence, but NO DATA CHART | T Scored M | A data chart is required; otherwise strand is incomplete. | e. 53 |
| 2) - Data chart <br> - 2 pieces of evidence showing DIFFERENT skills/outcomes than the data chart | Scored M | If primary evidence does not address the outcome listed on the data chart, then strand is incomplete. | 54 |
| 3) - Data chart <br> - Video <br> - Photograph | Complete and scorable, if photo and video meet requirements for primary evidence (see p. 22, \#6). If not, then scored M | Photograph must meet criteria to be scored as primary evidence. | 25 54 (if photo is secondary evidence) |
| 4) - Data chart, with accuracy AND independence at 80-100\% for the duration of data collection period <br> - 2 related pieces of evidence | Scored M | Data chart must show that student was taught a new skill. Therefore, accuracy and/or independence should fall below $80 \%$ at some point. | 56 |
| 5) - Field data chart <br> - Line graph summarizing field data <br> - 1 piece of related evidence | Complete and scorable | Field data chart can be summarized on bar/line graph. Both charts are scorable. | NO |
| 6) - Data chart with fewer than 5 dates <br> - 2 related pieces of evidence | Scored M | At least 5 data points on 5 different dates are required. | 55 |
| 7) - Data chart with 6 points, 2 on the same day <br> - 2 related pieces of evidence | Complete and scorable, if at least 5 dates are related to outcome | All data recorded on same date should be averaged by scorer. Each date counts as single data point. | NO |
| 8) - Data chart with 5 points, 2 on the same day <br> - 2 related pieces of evidence | Scored M | At least 5 data points on 5 different dates are required. | 55 |

[^0]| If a strand consists of: | Then strand is: | Reason: | Provide a comment* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9) - Data chart measuring several different outcomes <br> - 2 pieces of evidence | Complete and scorable, if able to determine data that addresses one outcome, with 2 pieces of related primary evidence. If unable to do so in 2 minutes, score M | Scorable only if chart includes at least 5 related data points on 5 different dates, with 2 related pieces of evidence. | 41 55 (if 5 different dates are not included) |
| 10) - 3 data charts, each addressing a different learning standard in the strand - Fewer than 2 pieces of primary evidence related to any of the three charts | Scored M, if fewer than 2 pieces are related to an outcome on one of the charts | Strand must include at least 2 pieces of primary evidence addressing same outcome as one data chart; otherwise strand is incomplete. | 54 |
| 11) - 3 data charts with same outcome on each chart - No other evidence | Scored M | 3 data charts with the same outcome are counted as one piece of primary evidence. | 54 |
| 12) - Multiple data charts, each addressing a different learning standard <br> - 2 pieces of primary evidence related to each chart | Complete and scorable; average the final $1 / 3$ time frame of each "set" of evidence. Then, average all "averages" together | When multiple data charts reflecting different outcomes are included, count all documented skills. | NO |
| 13) - Data chart <br> - One related work sample <br> - One work sample based on a different learning standard in strand | Scored M | A data chart plus 2 pieces of primary evidence are required that address the same outcome. | 54 |

[^1]
## Portfolios in Grades 9-12

Each portfolio for a student in grade 9, 10, 11, or 12 must be scored twice, with any scoring discrepancies resolved by a Table Leader or M-Resolver. Additionally, portfolios in grades 9-12 must be set aside for additional review in the following cases:

- When Level of Complexity=4 or 5 in one or more strands of the portfolio. These portfolios may be eligible to earn a Competency Determination.
- When Work Description for Grade 10 Competency Determination labels are attached to student work, unless the portfolio only includes three strands and the Level of Complexity score is not above 3 in any strand.

Once portfolios have been set aside, they will be reviewed by a panel of content area experts who will determine whether:

- all required evidence has been submitted for the Competency Determination in that subject*
- the evidence is "at grade level" for a student in grade 10
- the evidence demonstrates a comparable level of achievement to that of a student who has "passed" the grade 10 MCAS test in that subject with a score of 220 (Needs Improvement).
* In order to earn the Competency Determination, the student's portfolio must include evidence of the grade 10 learning standards described in the 2007 Educator's Manual for MCAS-Alt.

Data charts are not required in portfolios submitted for the Competency
Determination. Therefore, if a data chart is missing, do not score the strand "M." If a data chart is provided, do not score it.

## Score Level of Complexity as follows for portfolios Grades 9-12:

## Level of Complexity $=5$, when:

- the student is addressing standards at grade-level expectations, AND
- all required evidence for a competency portfolio is submitted in the strand (see the 2007 Educator's Manual for MCAS-Alt)

Level of Complexity = 4, when:

- the student is addressing standards at grade-level expectations, AND
- some, but not all required evidence for a competency portfolio is submitted in the strand


## Level of Complexity = 3, when:

- the student addresses standards below grade-level expectations (i.e., "entry points"), regardless of the amount of evidence submitted


## Validity and Reliability of Portfolio Scores

## Same Standards

High Expectations for ALL Students

## Training and Qualification of Scorers

Scorers will receive intensive training by Massachusetts Department of Education staff on the first day of the first week in which each scorer is confirmed to participate. After training is completed, each prospective scorer, including table leaders and floor managers, must qualify by taking and passing a qualifying test before they may begin scoring actual student portfolios. If a scorer qualifies, he or she will begin scoring actual student portfolios the following day. If a scorer participates for a second week, he or she will not need to requalify.

## Qualifying Test

The qualifying test consists of scoring a pre-calibrated "mock" MCAS-Alt portfolio and answering a series of questions simulating different situations a scorer might encounter while scoring. Prospective scorers may refer to the following publications while taking the test:

- 2007 Guidelines for Scoring Student Portfolios (this publication)
- 2007 Educator's Manual for MCAS-Alt
- Resource Guide to the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks for Students with Disabilities (2006)
- "Training for Portfolio Scorers" (Powerpoint handout from scorer training)

The passing scores for the qualifying test are as follows:

- Scorers must achieve 85 percent accuracy on both sections of the qualifying test.
- Table leaders and floor managers must achieve 90 percent accuracy on both sections of the qualifying test.

Prospective scorers, table leaders, and floor managers who do not qualify on the first attempt will be given an opportunity to review their tests and receive additional training, after which a second qualifying test will be administered. Those who do not qualify on the second attempt will be excused from scoring; table leaders and floor managers who score 85-89\% will be invited to participate as scorers.

## Maintaining the Validity (Accuracy) and Reliability (Consistency) of Scores

Table leaders will track each scorer's consistency in scoring portfolios. For portfolios in grades $3-8$, this will be accomplished by double-scoring at least one portfolio each morning and afternoon for each scorer; and at least one portfolio of every five scored. In grade 10, every portfolio is double-scored. Portfolios will be double-scored by another scorer in a different area of the room. The table leader (an expert scorer) will resolve scoring discrepancies, if any, from the prior two sets of scores. Table leaders will score at least one portfolio each day that will be double-scored by another scorer or table leader, with discrepancies resolved by a floor manager.

Each scorer's rate of agreement with an expert scorer will be based on ten, and in some cases fifteen, separate rubric scores per content area. Agreement must be maintained at a rate of 80 percent or higher for all rubric scores. Scorers will be retrained and double-scored for the remainder of that morning or afternoon when their rate of agreement falls below 80 percent, and may be released from scoring at the discretion of the Department of Education when their rate of agreement falls below 80 percent twice or more in one week.

## Appendix A: Rubric for Scoring Portfolio Strands

## High Expectations for ALL Students

| 49 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| d |  |
| 9 |  |
| N |  |
| F |  |
|  |  |


|  | M | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Demonstration of Skills and Concepts``` | The portolo strand contains insufficens informaton ts defermine a score. | Studant's perfomarce is primarly inaccurabe and demonstrates minimal understanding in tris srand ( $0-25 \%$ accurate) | Sivjerfis petomance simited and noorsistent wht reçand to accurcoy and demosstrates Iniked understanding in tis stand ( $26-50 \%$ accurate) | Sudents perfomance is mostly accuate and denconstades some indertanding in tris strand ( $51.75 \%$ accurate). | Slodonfs perfomarce is acourate ard is of consistently high qualty infis strand (76-1005 accurate). |
| Independence | The portolio strand contins insulficient inlonation to determine a soxe. | Student fequires extensive verbal visual and physcal assistance to dampostate skils and concepts in tis strard ( $0.25 \%$ independent) | Sudent requiros froquert vertal visual, and physical assistance to domonstrate silis and concepts in this strand (26-50\% independent) | Sudart roquires some vertal, visul and physical assistancety dermonstrate silis and concepts intis strand ( $51-75 \%$ independent) | Studant tequires minimal vebow, visud, and phyicicil assistance to demonstale sevils and concepts in this strand (76-100\% independera!) |
| Self.Evaluation | Eviderce of sel-conection. task- mcoitoing, gat seting, and relection was not found in te studart's portclo in this coctert area. | Student intequenily sellconects, monitors, sets grals. and robects in this ocetert area- - videnced sel. evaluation was found in only one strund. | Sludent occasionaly selfconects, monitris, sees goals, and refects in this cortertarea - evidence ol sele evelution was found in teo strands | Student fequently sel-corects, montors, sate grals, and efects in this content area - evidence of self-evataton was found etter in thee strands; or, two or more examples were found in only one strand. | Student sex-corrects, montors, sets goalk and effects all or most of the tine in nis cortont area - two or more exancles of sellevaluaton were fourd in each strand. |
| Generalized Performance |  | Stivent demionstates knowledge and sills in one context or uses one instructional approach andore nethod el tesponse and patiopaton in each strand. | Susfent demonstrates knowledge and sitis in two or more contexts, cr uses two or more insinciconal approaches andor methods of response and parfopaton in only one strand. | Siudent demonstrates knowledge and skels in tho conterts; cr uses two instrutional approasties andor mestiods ol resporse and parfociton in each strand. | Sudeni denuxstrates krowledge and sitis in three or more connexs. or uses three of more instructional approactes andor methods of response and parfocpasion in each strand. |

## Appendix B: MCAS-Alt Score Forms

## 2007 MCAS-Alt Score Forms

Scorers will use the following forms during the scoring institute to calculate and record scores and comments for all MCAS-Alt portfolios.

## Strand Organizer

This form will be used by the scorer as a worksheet and discarded after scoring is completed for each strand in the portfolio. Scorers will record information in the appropriate sections of the Strand Organizer for individual pieces of evidence in the strand to summarize and keep track of important information about each piece.

## Portfolio Feedback Form (PFF)

The PFF will provide direct feedback from a scorer who reviewed the portfolio. Each scorer will complete one PFF and will be returned to schools inside each portfolio. Scorers will summarize (in pen) the information from the Strand Organizers on this form and provide numbered comments from the Comment Key.

There are three copies of each PFF. The top two copies will be collected by the Table Leader and clipped to the Student Score Form. The bottom copy will be returned inside the portfolio, with the Comment Key printed on the reverse side.

## Student Score Form (SSF)

Final portfolio scores will be recorded by the scorer on this "bubble" form using a \#2 pencil. Scorers must carefully separate the top copy of the SSF from the perforated packet found in each portfolio and neatly transcribe the information from the PFF onto this top copy. Student Score Forms will be electronically scanned by Measured Progress staff at the scoring site.

## Comment Key

The scorer will select appropriate comments from this numbered list of comments in order to provide feedback to the teacher(s) who prepared the portfolio. Numbers are placed by scorers in the appropriate boxes on the PFF. Scorers must provide comments on all PFFs, particularly when a score of " M " has been given.

## Strand Organizer

2007 MCAS-Alt Strand Orgarizer


## Portfolio Feedback Form (PFF)

2007 MCAS ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT
PORTFOLIO FEEDBACK FORM
Hoter This is not on ottcial soore report, it contoirs the iaw scoles and ocmments of a reviewer who scored tre stuchent's porffole. Phecse share this form with the edvootorli) who compiled this portiolio, Pament/Guardian


Directions te Scerens. hace (s) X orcheck (h) in the pox ned bo eoch consent areo andstrand bang scored in thit portiolo. grich eochscore below. Add corments hoen Communt Cey ph revine side.

| (eaining standarde) a <br> (evel ot Cornchouity |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |
| indicenderice |
| selsevaluaton |
| Generolired fentomance |

## teaining standarde (3) *

tewel or Compionity Cemo or sife 8 Conceot indicondonce selfeviduation
Cenorclized Ferfamonce

## COMMENTS :

teaining stendardy(i) :
tenel of Compionty
Deme of Shle A Conceot
ridepenchince
selifucluation
Gericicitrod fertomínce

## COMMENIS:

5tand listed below was submilted but was not required la thh grode/swbject. and wes not icored

General Comments on mh portlolio

Dratiens zelotions s Algebeo

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $M$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $M$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
| $M$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  | 1 | $2+$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | $3+$ |  |  |  |



## Leoring Stondercon *

Uevel of Comichenty
Demp of swia 3 Concept
nolependonce
seli-tuduction
Genercimed Kerfornonce

## COMMENTS A




Sclence \& Tech/Eng

| I\# High School Seis fech/ing |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| peot | olio won whmitted for. |
| $\square$ | Eiology |
| - | Chemistry |
| 口 | Introductory flyulics |
| $\square$ | technolog//Ingineering |



DTech/bng or us sei 4


## Student Score Form (SSF)

| Gusers Smomital |
| :---: |
| Cowrante (i) (a) (s) (i) (3) |
|  (i0 (1) (1) (3) (1) |
| Fobencence $\pi(0)(a)(\theta)$ |
|  |
|  <br> (i) (1) © |
| Gremil Shwoume 8 |
| Comandr <br> (9)(7) (3) (3) (3) |
| Exwolirimot ce Sous 10 (1) (1) (3) (4) |
| TVEFELCNC M (1) (A) (A) (A) |
| Su-E/isinin $\mu(b)$ |
|  $\text { (3) } 0$ |
| Cenmommice |
| Ccinemu <br> (9)(7) (a) (3) (9) |
| ESMCIFIPMON OE SaLs (10 (1) (1) (3) (0) |
| FREFICEVEE M (1) (a) (A) |
|  |
|  <br> (1) 50 |

## THIS PAGE FOR SCORING PURPOSES <br> ONLY

## 

 EvaubiLnguaci AatsManamano
Sivaz


(1) (0) (0)

Feremonic
4 (1) (6) (3) (a)
Stif Enavition
in (1) 2
Gritumas Painioimar

## Grovitian

| Cometan (1) (a) (i) (4) (8) |
| :---: |
|  |
| heforicula $4(1)(x)(x)(4$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sur Entiation } \\ & \text { is (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |


| Mranumimi |
| :---: |
| Curtaix ( n ( x$) \mathrm{A})(4$ |
| Dracisiblion of Sykls (1) (1) (3) $(9$ |
| 106民icive (4) (1) (2) (3) (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sin-Evaliation } \\ & \text { in (0) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Gotrumail Purwanct <br> (6) (2) 0. |
|  |
| Cosulatio $(4)(8)(3)(4)(6)$ |
|  |
| Cervicove 4) (1) (2) (3) (a) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brfentianon } \\ & 30(1) 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Gmatueas Purniment (8) (8) 0. |

Scince \& Tecownogr/Encmetamas Emim ix Stux Sanci (cn Sa I) Coztiout (a)
(i) p) (a) Ductivanct cr 5 NELS in (1) (1) ()) (1)

SE-Einumbi
w 0 20


## Lint Sawer (ce saz)

Cosproum (a)
(1) 0 (a)

(10) (1) (1) (0)

Soi-Einumbo
4(0) 2



## Cranour Samar (ca Sci


il (1) (1) () (1)
Wrificela
Sur-Einuston
4 (a) 2
Gmptucu Pilizousco
(क) क8
Tromolomewilicima (ce Sad)
Crentuti
(6) (2) (6)
(1) (1) () ()

FEFFBCOHE
चै(3)(2)
Sur-Einumion
W(1) 2

(1) कौ

| Hion Sencou Sas Tcenten <br> O80001 <br> Onimstiv <br> Olvimguesonir Priser <br> OTond Evanuman |
| :---: |

IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII

## Comment Key

## Comment Key 2007 MCAS－Ait

## General Comments

## Potloto was clear and comprehecotive

Mocen strandi，leaming standarth，ander evidence weire stimetest Than nebwssery
3 irtroducton provispacher inguluti insommion about the gruopr
4 Encourlop porseti to cortact the Deportmant of Education girecty witt commert Uloantions on MCAS
5．Stusperts iep ind informatorion disobi ty angop placemert shoced not be incuded in the portiop？
If Secondiry evidence wis helphulto the wcocer m undocit mind resing andke ócrebit
 HCOTO
1 Eviderse was not dyised rids stringh，Ecorer aftampled bo group Enidence together if erber to score the portule
3 This portisio was scored by several sophirn．When a discrepency was toond betwede the fret and teconid scone in a nutic areas a thind scorer remotived the dicgrepancy
 MCAS．Affor portilio neaumemerts）
11 Grand wat putmited．set woy not reguaned and was not ploperd



t3．Adstional disscripion byteacter was heedect to detemine tie nabive of tasify or activtymes）
t4．Secondary evidonce cesaty filistratedenstructional strategen

## LEVEL OF COMPLEXITY

15．G00d widonce of inntracton in the pecetor curtoulum wan thown Evidince de not makis level otcompleatyindicated on ttrand Cover sreet（wther accens shels．entry points，of at grase lewel）
 Leaming itangards muit be inted at atupent＇s enrobed grede ieval Geade 10 studect is nodierg at or close to grase ievel Convidor feabenting portfolo tor Competoncy Determination
 the score twhectrat tre highest ievel of complewly onthe dotes chart （at least ive porte）ind tie two puipest of primary evigen（e）

## Demonstration of Skills and Concepts

21 Strand contaned varied modence of atubveif s performanco
22 Dstcometiegeted vill was eithec 100 broad．unneasirnble，or under
28．Peroert acourncy on wotk ded not madth descrobon label
24 Evidence in Ela ind Meth mult be produced durip the curvart school war
Phocigrigh was not comodeed inmary evidirce Docevee it was


## Independence

20．Unclear how much was actudly oone ty stadert raber than by the feasher，other asil．or a0we stubert
27．Acoperbodabons do not ifinct perciprta pe ot indecendenci

20 Hand－aver－himd astistancio wins scoesd 0 poscert lor ingipendence
30 insependence was deorly documperted

## SELF－Evaluation


37 Sbete adwiets dertifed as self－ivatuation whip undear
33．Stujerts should wathute \＃ever ann bertormance
34 Staspert choice man not evisect in use of itiolernhtanss

## NOTE：

Commerts were selected from this ilst to provide Teedback to the teacher who submitted the portfolio． The corresponding number（s）appear on the Poutfolio Feedbuck Form inserted in the student＇s portfolio．

## Generalized Performance

35 instruction alywied thugent t⿳亠丷厂⿰丨 semooitrate inviwledge and shith uting a rande of open－ented，cieneve opproachel
35 Ooly one initructonal apprsach of cortext was evocent in thes strand
37 sume actutes in tivs igrand dathe involve age－gopfoprate misenats andur actities

## DATA CHARTS

Doto dearly showed the pectiomance and prognes es studert
23 A dear isestigtiot of at actirtas was stown on the dats that
40 Clear wiginios was included of stugber thetrig hister pwn persormance on dita chert
41．Dexp chat adudid multple unvelated sials oc wat oworly becad Documert ociy cos ollocmivhall per chat
42．Deta mefe unclear or could not be irfargeeted by the scorer
43．Tathe doornontird on the data chart did not imlate to the manamble outcertey andor leanting standord ind tatel ot Strand Cover Shest －Fwo or mote groohs showngidertical irbomaton were wibmisg


## FORMS AND LABELS

Al worl was deaty tabeled with roquebd intormation
At hest one Strand Cover Sheet was missing
7 Somp infloce abon was misting on the Strand Cover Sneot
 matcome indicatod on Strand Coror Sheat
9．Informabion on the Work Pepocoption Label dd not match widence In this cale，imbrtnetion sravided in the widerice wis spored
 segonte atteoipts made by schoot to cortact parentiguardion
51．Purtbile coetanes cufdafed foms．Pleare ube oument Stand Cover Shetets．Worlc Sample Devicription labues and dita chats
Sป．One or mols forms isted in the Rqqured Portiolio Evemerts were mitting

## A score of M Was given because

No data chat was submited Ond is requirectia oach strand
54．N heatt fwi pueces of primary evidence metated to the octcome on the dita chat reere not sukimed
55．Dofa chat do not document studert porformance of a targebed Dilloulcome oh it is int Iredflimetuluter
55．Duto chat infesed 10h－100w acuracy ans undependence for anter dita cohacton peroct Pronsil movew igti chat requemerts Studert＇s nawe russing on one or mope pocets of brimary widenct
8 Dote finorit day year）maning on one or more pieces of grmary wifanco
 pomary wioferice anglor coild not be determied


## AUdIO／VIDEO／ELECTRONIC PORTTOLIO

E1．AudipNispo clatify toourverteg itubert performince
82 Taped segmerbis）vaceeded Smishir letit
 guakly la hare，pleawe perplde trinderist
B4 AudoNesto opdig not be socred tepcintes wans not in pretembed formst（DVD，Vhrs vis－C，or standard auts caswte）
B5 AudioNided／electronsic portobo could not be scoped becouse soorer osuld sot liscite or ceen one or mony reconded segmerts


[^0]:    * Numbered comment from Comment Key (See Appendix B)

[^1]:    * Numbered comment from Comment Key (See Appendix B)

